Diabetes & its Complications

A Dose Response Analysis of Dual Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS) Blockade Among Diabetic Nephropathy Patients with Albuminuria and Proteinuria: A Meta-Analysis

Sultan Al Dalbhi MD^{1*}, Mohammad Al Eissa MD², Khuzama Al Kayid MD², Malak Alkhodaidi MD³, Malak Albalawi MD³ and Ghadeer Althaqib³

¹Department of Adult Nephrology, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh 11159, Saudi Arabia.

²Department of Family Medicine, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh 11159, Saudi Arabia.

³College of Medicine, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh 84428, Saudi Arabia.

*Correspondence:

Sultan Al Dalbhi, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh 11159, Saudi Arabia, Tel: +966 53037 3337; Fax: +966 114777714.

Received: 15 February 2019; Accepted: 04 March 2019

Citation: Sultan Al Dalbhi, Mohammad Al Eissa, Khuzama Al Kayid, et al. A Dose Response Analysis of Dual Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS) Blockade Among Diabetic Nephropathy Patients with Albuminuria and Proteinuria: A Meta-Analysis. Diabetes Complications. 2019; 3(1): 1-11.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Treatment with renin angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) blockade including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEis) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) have been shown to improve clinical outcomes. However, recent contrasting evidence regarding the dual RAAS blockade has also been presented. Very few studies have investigated the effectiveness of this dual blockade among diabetic nephropathy (DN) patients in association with albuminuria or proteinuria.

Research Design and Methods: A review of randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies (n=45) reporting on the dose response analysis among DN patients using the RAAS blockade (including both ACEi and ARBs and other combinations) and other monotherapies over a 25-year period was performed. Overall, 45 studies of DN patients (n=18,628) with albuminuria or proteinuria were included.

Results: An association between dual RAAS blockade and DN was observed, in which 18 of the 45 datasets revealed that combination therapies were effective among DN patients. Although there was a decline in albuminuria (mean difference: -19.93 mcg/L; 95% CI -50.32 – 10.47; I2 = 87.8%, p = 0.000) and a slight decline in proteinuria (mean difference: -0.19 mg/mmol; 95% CI -2.32 – 2.70; I2 = 99.2%, p = 0.000) with dual RAAS blockade combination therapy, these results demonstrated high heterogeneity among studies, with non-significant effects.

Conclusion: Based on this study, it appears that dual RAAS blockade (or a combination of therapies) is a neutral treatment for patients with DN presenting with symptoms of albuminuria and/or proteinuria. Therefore, other factors must be considered when recommending therapies for DN patients.

Keywords

RAAS, Dual blockade, Albuminuria, Proteinuria, Diabetic Nephropathy.

Background

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a debilitating disease and the leading cause of end-stage renal disease worldwide [1]. Earlier evidence suggests that treatment with renin angiotensin aldosterone system

Diabetes Complications, 2019

(RAAS) inhibitors, including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEis) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), have been shown to improve clinical outcomes among diabetic patients with hypertension, while also reducing the incidence of microalbuminuria in patients with normoalbuminuria [2,3], the progression to overt proteinuria in patients with microalbuminuria [4-6], and the development of end-stage renal disease in patients with overt nephropathy [1]. A systematic review study published in 2012 showed that dual RAAS inhibition is an option to decrease proteinuria and control BP in patients with diabetic kidney disease (DKD) but is associated with an increased risk of hyperkalemia [7]. In their meta-analysis, it was demonstrated that an increase in serum potassium was less pronounced with combined ACEi/ARB than with other combinations. At the time, it was suggested that therapy should only be attempted with ACEi/ARB combination and only in selected patients (i.e. those with macroalbuminuria and normal serum potassium levels on RAAS blockade monotherapy) [7].

Contrasting evidence on the dual RAAS blockade

The latest research demonstrates that the long-held belief that a more complete blockade of RAAS, with a combination of two of the three existing RAAS blockers (ACE inhibitors (ACEi), ARBs, or DRIs), has come under serious doubt regarding its effectiveness and safety for the treatment of patients with hypertension, or nephropathy with proteinuria [8]. With regards to clinical studies, it was concluded that, in human diseases, there are currently no proven benefits of the combined ACEi and ARB over single drug RAAS blockade. Given the high-risk of serious complications, it was suggested that dual RAAS blockade cannot be currently recommended as the therapy of choice, even in patients with heavy proteinuria. This has also been confirmed in large medical trials and meta analyses, which is considered to be evidence based [9]. Fried et al. also demonstrated that the use of combination therapy with an ACE inhibitor and an ARB among patients with proteinuric DKD does not provide an overall clinical benefit.

In addition, available data suggests that a dual blockade of RAAS is not currently feasible among diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy (DN). This does not mean that in future, a dual blockade (ACEi plus ARBs) should not be used in these patients, but that this therapeutic approach should be tested among selected diabetic populations to identify subgroups of patients with whom the desired nephro- and cardio-protection are achieved without increase in side effects (10). The results of these previous studies revealed that ONTARGET, ALTITUDE, and VA NEPHRON-D do not allow the formulation of definitive considerations on the role of a dual blockade of RAAS with ACEi and ARBs among diabetic patients with microalbuminuria or proteinuria. This is because they were heterogeneous studies with short follow-up durations and weak end-points [10]. Although a study conducted by Elrggal et al. was selective and somewhat biased, the authors make compelling arguments that cast serious doubt over the strength of the evidence upon which the current guidelines are based, favoring the use of dual RAAS blockade among DKD patients [11].

Regarding albuminuria, a few studies suggest that albuminuria should not be considered as a target for treatment, but instead a surrogate marker of DKD progression, as it is unknown whether the adverse effects of combination therapy will offset any benefit [11,12]. It was also suggested that reduced albuminuria does not always translate to a decrease in cardiovascular and renal morbidity. However, dual therapy carries an increased risk [10].

Over the past five years, interventions with a dual RAAS blockade may have improved or shown positive or negative effects among diabetic patients. As such, there is little evidence that evaluates the dual RAAS blockade therapies among DN patients with albuminuria or proteinuria. Therefore, this review attempts to fill this research void by providing a transparent overview of dual RAAS blockade and monotherapies in modern day medicine.

Research Design and Methods

A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [13].

Data Sources and Searches

A review of randomized controlled trials (RCT) studies (n = 45) reporting on the dose response analysis among DN patients using dual RAAS inhibitors including both ACEi and ARBs or other monotherapies over a 25-year period (1993 – 2017) was performed. We felt that it was imperative to also include RCTs using monotherapy, in order to provide a transparent overview of the therapeutic effect among DN patients. The following databases were searched: NHS evidence, EMBASE, Medline and PubMed, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Library. The search items were based on established terminology using Cochrane definitions where possible and were "diabetic nephropathy" and "renin angiotensin aldosterone system;" "RAS/RAAS blockade" and "proteinuria."

The titles and/or abstracts were reviewed to exclude any clearly irrelevant studies. The full texts of the remaining studies were then retrieved and read in full, independently, to determine whether the studies met inclusion criteria. The reference lists of studies that examine the topic of interest were checked for additional publications.

Criteria for inclusion in the review

Abstracts were considered eligible for full manuscript data extraction if the study met all the following criteria: a) they reported an association or dissociation of a dose response analysis between either dual RAAS blockade or monotherapy and DN; b) the sample consisted of adults (>18 years of age); and c) the study design was cross-sectional or randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Studies that solely consisted of patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes (without nephropathy, albuminuria, or proteinuria) were not included. Our inclusion criteria revealed 45 studies of DN patients with either albuminuria or proteinuria.

Data extraction

Using a standardized data extraction sheet, the following information (if available) was extracted and recorded from the studies: authors; year of publication; country of origin; study design; total sample size of participants; methods of assessment/ experiment; outcomes; effective treatments. If multiple risk estimates were presented in a given manuscript, the unadjusted estimate was selected for the primary meta-analysis as some studies were adjusted for prominent confounding variables, while others were not, rendering a direct comparison of estimates to be questionable.

Quality assessment

The PRISMA guidelines for RCTs (13) were used to examine the quality of the studies. These include adequacy of study design (RCT with an adequate control group); recruitment of sample; ascertainment of diabetes, albuminuria and RAAS inhibitors; and control for co-founding variables, such as BP, and estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR). The quality of the studies was not summarized with a score, as this approach has been criticized for allocating equal weight to different aspects of methodology [14], but a formal assessment of the risk of bias and strength of evidence according to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) guidelines was conducted [15]. A study was considered to be of high quality if the study design was prospective in nature; consecutive or a random sampling method was used; and cofounders for DN, albuminuria and proteinuria, systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), and eGFR were accounted for.

Data Synthesis and Analysis

The primary outcome analyzed was the mean difference in percent reduction in proteinuria and albuminuria between the combination therapy and monotherapy groups. In some studies, proteinuria and albuminuria outcome data were presented as the geometric mean and 95% confidence interval (CI). In studies which had two monotherapy comparator arms (eg. ACEi or ARB, enalapril or losartan, telmisartan or valsartan, spironolactone or control), the average of the means and standard errors of the two arms was utilized. Secondary outcomes included changes in SBP, DBP, and GFR.

We fitted a random effects model to the study data as it includes estimates taken from a series of independently performed studies. We interpreted I2 results as low, moderate, and high heterogeneity represented by 0-25%, 26-50%, and >50%, respectively. Forest plots were created with STATA 14.2 (StataCorp (College Station, Texas USA) and are reported with standard errors (SE) and 95% confidence intervals for mean differences.

Results

Study selection

The flowchart for study inclusion is shown in Figure 1. The literature search resulted in 987 studies. After review of their titles and abstracts, 152 studies met the initial inclusion criteria and were retrieved for full text review. Of these, 107 studies were excluded from the systematic review as they no longer met the inclusion criteria. A total of 45 studies were included in the systematic review, and the extracted data are summarized in Table 1. The risk of bias of the studies (n = 18) was included in the meta-analysis using the Cochrane assessment tool (Table 2).

Qualitative summary

A standard data extraction template was created using Microsoft

Author (ref)	Year	Country	Study Design	n	Methods of Assessment/Experiment	Results/Outcomes	Treatments/ Doses	Effective?
Uzu et al. [16]	2016	Japan	RCT	225	Compared the effect of aliskiren, a direct renin inhibitor (DRI), with that of angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) on albuminuria and urinary excretion of angiotensinogen	DRI is not superior to ARB in the reduction of urinary excretion of albumin and angiotensinogen.	DRI	No
Kato et al. [17]	2015	Japan	prospective, ran- domized, open-label study	52	The patients were subjected to add-on treatment with spironolactone 25 mg once daily and compared with matched controls for 8 weeks	Spironolactone reduced albuminuria along with conventional RAS inhibitors in patients with DN.	Spironolac- tone	Yes
Van Buren et al. [18]	2014	USA	blinded, random- ized, three-arm placebo-controlled clinical trial	80	Participants with DN taking lisinopril (80 mg) were randomized to spironolactone (25 mg daily), losartan (100 mg daily), or placebo	Spironolactone raised serum potassium more than losartan in patients with DN receiving lisinopril	Spironolac- tone	Yes
Kwakernaak et al. [19]	2014	Nether- lands	double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover random- ized trial	45	Tested the separate and combined effects of sodium restriction and hydrochlorothiazide (50 mg daily), added to lisinopril 40 mg daily on albuminuria (primary endpoint).	Sodium restriction is an effective non-pharmacological intervention to increase RAAS blockade efficacy in type 2 DN.	Sodium restriction	Yes
Fernández- Juárez et al. [20]	2013	Spain	RCT	103	Compared the efficacy of combining an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and an angiotensin receptor blocker with the efficacy of each drug in monotherapy to slow the progression of established DN	25 (OH) vitamin D deficiency is independently associated with a higher risk of the composite outcome in patients with type II DN.	25 (OH) vitamin D deficiency	Yes
Imai et al. [1]	2013	Japan	RCT and post-hoc analysis	563	Examined the effects of olmesartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in the presence or absence of an ACEi.	In DN patients, olmesartan significantly reduced proteinuria, independent of ACEi treatment and cardiovascular outcome.	Olmesartan	Yes
Karalliedde et al. [21]	2013	England	RCT	76	Patients with type 2 DM and DKD (with albuminuria and serum creatinine <1.7 mg/dl) were studied at baseline and at 24 weeks after randomization to valsartan/ hydrochlorothiazide (n=37) or amlodipine (n=39) treatment.	Treatment with RAS blockers, valsartan, is associated with an increase in soluble Klotho, which may contribute to BP-independent cardiorenal benefits.	Valsartan	Yes

					j	n	1	1
Mann et al. [22]	2013	Germany	RCT	9628	Examined the effects of addition of an ACE inhibitor (ramipril) to an ARB (telmisartan) for a mean follow-up of 56 months in people with diabetes	A combination of ACEi and ARB does not increase strokes or alter other major cardiovascular or renal events in patients with diabetes, irrespective of the presence of nephropathy.	ACEi and ARB	Yes
Pruijm et al. [23]	2013	Switzer- land	Prospective randomized 2-way cross over study	12	Patients with (micro)albuminuria and/or hypertension underwent blood oxygenation level-dependent magnetic resonance imaging at baseline, after one month of enalapril (20 mgqd), and candesartan (16 mgqd).	RAS blockade does not seem to increase renal tissue oxygenation in type 2 diabetes mellitus hypertensive patients.	RAAS Blockade	No
Ghorbani et al. [24]	2012	Iran	Double-blinded clinical trial	100	Assessed the additive effect of pentoxifylline on reduction of proteinuria among patients with type 2 DM under blockade of angiotensin system.	Pentoxifylline can significantly provide additive antiproteinuric effect and slow the decrease in GFR among patients with type 2 DM under blockade of angiotensin system.	Pentoxifyl- line	Yes
Fernández- Juárez et al. [25]	2013	Spain	RCT	133	Compared the efficacy of combining the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor lisinopril and the angiotensin II receptor blocker irbesartan with that of each drug in monotherapy in slowing the progression of type 2 DN.	There was no benefit of the combination of lisinopril and irbesartan compared to either agent alone at optimal high doses on the risk of progression of type 2 DN.	Lisinopril/ Irbesartan	No
Fallahzadeh et al. [26]	2012	Iran	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 2-arm parallel trial.	60	UACR and urinary and serum levels of TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor α), malondialdehyde (MDA), and TGFβ (transforming growth factor β) at baseline and the end of the treatment phase.	Silymarin reduces urinary excretion of albumin, $TNF-\alpha$, and MDA in patients with DN and may be considered as a novel addition to the anti-DN armamentarium.	Silymarin	Yes
Rasi Hashemi et al. [27]	2012	Iran	RCT	70	Randomly divided into two groups and were treated with losartan, 25 mg, twice per day, with and without N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), 600 mg twice daily (study and control groups, respectively; 35 patients in each group).	Angiotensin receptor blockers reduced proteinuria due to DN, and this study failed to detect additional effect when NAC was combined with these medications.	ARB	Yes
Kohan et al. [28]	2011	USA	randomized, double-blind, pla- cebo-controlled trial	89	Randomly assigned subjects with EGFR >20 ml/min per 1.73 m(2) and a urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) of 100 to 3000 mg/g to placebo or atrasentan (0.25, 0.75, or 1.75 mg daily) for 8 weeks	Atrasentan, at the doses tested, is generally safe and effective in reducing residual albuminuria and may ultimately improve renal outcomes in patients with type 2 DN.	Atrasentan	Yes
de Zeeuw et al. [29]	2010	Nether- lands	multinational, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial	281	Patients were assigned (1:1:1) by computer- generated randomization sequence to receive 24 weeks' treatment with placebo, 1 µg/day paricalcitol, or 2 µg/day paricalcitol.	Addition of 2 μg/day paricalcitol to RAAS inhibition safely lowers residual albuminuria in patients with DN and could be a novel approach to lower residual renal risk in diabetes.	2 μg/day paricalcitol	Yes
Nakamura et al. [30]	2010	Japan	RCT	68	Randomly allocated to 1 of 4 treatment groups: losartan 100 mg/day (group A), candesartan 12 mg/day (group B), olmesartan 40 mg/day (group C), or telmisartan 80 mg/day (group D); where treatment was continued for 12 months.	ARBs show renoprotection and this effect of telmisartan appears to be more potent than that of losartan, candesartan, or olmesartan in early-stage DN patients.	Telmisartan	Yes
Eyileten et al. [31]	2010	Turkey	RCT	65	Patients were treated with ramipril 5 mg daily for 2 months.	Treatment with ramipril causes a significant decrease in visfatin levels along with the improvement of proteinuria, endothelial dysfunction, and inflammatory state in DN.	Ramipril	Yes
Tan et al. [32]	2010	Malaysia	RCT	34	All patients received a combination of enalapril 10 mg and losartan 50 mg daily for eight weeks, followed by enalapril 20 mg and losartan 100 mg daily for another eight weeks.	Dual blockade of the RAAS is safe and effective in reducing albuminuria in Asian type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy.	Enalapril and Losar- tan (Dual)	Yes
Mehdi et al. [33]	2009	USA	double-blind, pla- cebo-controlled trial	81	Randomly assigned the patients to placebo, losartan (100 mg daily), or spironolactone (25 mg daily) for 48 wk.	The addition of spironolactone, but not losartan, to a regimen including maximal ACE inhibition affords greater renoprotection in DN despite a similar effect on BP.	Spironolac- tone	Yes

Krairittichai et al. [34]	2009	Thailand	RCT	80	Type 2 diabetic patients with urine protein/creatinine (upcr) > 0.5 gm/gm and hypertension who received maximal recommended dose of ACE inhibitors (Enalapril 40 mg/day) over three months were randomized to two groups.	Adding maximal recommended dose of ARB with maximal recommended dose of ACE inhibitors in type 2 diabetic patients can reduce proteinuria more than ACE inhibitors alone.	Dual and Enalapril	Yes
Ogawa et al. [35]	2008	Japan	RCT	38	The patients were randomly assigned to two groups, an azelnidipine AZ group (n=21, 16 mg/d) and a nifedipine-CR (NF) group (n=17, 40 mg/d).	A combination therapy of RAS inhibitors and AZ is an effective therapeutic modality for decreasing not only blood pressure but also inflammations and oxidative stresses.	Dual and AZ	Yes
Galle et al. [36]	2008	Germany	multicenter, dou- ble-blind, prospec- tive, parallel-group non-inferiority study	885	Patients with T2D, proteinuria (> or: 900 mg/24 h) and serum creatinine (< or: 3.0 mg/dl) were randomized to once-daily telmisartan 80 mg or valsartan 160 mg	In patients with T2D, hypertension and overt nephropathy, the renoprotection afforded by telmisartan and valsartan appears similar; study was unable to show an effect beyond that due to BP control.	Telmis- artan and Valsartan	No
Wang et al. [37]	2008	China	RCT	71	In 37 patients, angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) was added (the combination group); ACEi alone was continued in the other 34 (the control group) at 0 to 12 weeks.	Urinary expression of synaptopodin was lower after 12 weeks of ACEi and ARB combination therapy.	Dual	Yes
Yoneda et al. [38]	2007	Japan	RCT	95	Patients were treated with candesartan (8 mg/day, n: 47) or valsartan (80 mg/day, n: 48) for 15 months. 9 patients who exhibited aldosterone breakthrough after treatment with ARB were placed on spironolactone (25 mg/day) for 3 months.	Aldosterone blockade therapy may be effective in preventing renal injury in hypertensive patients with aldosterone breakthrough.	ABT	Yes
Eijkelkamp et al. [39]	2007	Nether- lands	RCT	1428	This study investigated the adequacy of this approach in 1428 patients with hypertension and DN from the placebo- controlled Reduction of Endpoints in NIDDM with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan (RENAAL) study	Antihypertensive treatment that is aimed at improving renal outcomes in patients with DN may therefore require a dual strategy, targeting both SBP and albuminuria reduction.	Dual	Yes
Kurokawa et al. [40]	2006	Japan	double-blind, ran- domized study	1513	This study compared losartan (50 to 100 mg once daily) with placebo.	In Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy, losartan offers renal protection and is generally well tolerated.	Losartan	Yes
Stevanovic et al. [41]	2005	USA	RCT	81	Forty-four consecutively enrolled Type 1 diabetic patients (28.2+/-1.5 years) and 37 normal subjects (37+/-2.6 years) in high salt balance were given 25 mg of captopril and 16 mg of candesartan p.o. On consecutive days.	Renin response to ACEi and ARB confirms activation of the RAS in diabetic patients.	Dual	Yes
Song et al. [42]	2006	Korea	prospective double-blinded ran- domized crossover trial	21	Trial consisting of three 16-week treatment periods with ramipril alone (10 mg/day), candesartan alone (16 mg/day), and ramipril (5 mg/day) plus candesartan (8 mg/day) combination therapy.	The dual blockade of RAS with low-dose ramipril plus candesartan was found to be safe and offered additive benefits with respect to reducing proteinuria and urinary TGF-β1 excretion.	Dual	Yes
Matos et al. [43]	2005	Brazil	RCT	20	Patients with non-nephrotic proteinuria (0.5 - 3.0 g/day) and estimated creatinine clearance > or: 40 ml/min/1.73 m2 were randomly assigned to be treated with perindopril 8 mg/day (Per), irbesartan 300 mg/day (Irb) or a combination of both	Only combined therapy with irbesartan plus perindopril concurrently reduces plasma aldosterone, proteinuria, and urinary TGF-β1.	Dual	Yes
Pohl et al. [44]	2005	USA	double-blind, pla- cebo-controlled trial	1590	Effects of baseline and mean follow-up systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP and the interaction of assigned study medications (irbesartan, amlodipine, and placebo) on progressive renal failure and all-cause mortality were assessed	An additional renoprotective effect of irbesartan, independent of achieved SBP, was observed down to 120 mmHg.	Irbesartan	Yes
Schjoedt et al. [45]	2005	Denmark	three randomized, double-masked, cross-over trials	51	Patients suffering from DN received 8 weeks of dual RAAS blockade using an angiotensin II receptor blocker in combination with an ACE inhibitor and 8 weeks of monotherapy with the same ACE inhibitor.	Dual RAAS blockade is a new treatment concept that may offer additional cardiovascular and renal protection in type 1 diabetic patients with DN.	Dual	Yes

Schjoedt et al. [46]	2004	Denmark	RCT	63	Patients with type 1 diabetes and DN were treated with losartan, 100 mg once daily, for a mean follow-up period of 35 months.	Aldosterone escape during long-term blockade of the renin- angiotensin-aldosterone system is associated with an enhanced decline in GFR in patients with type 1 diabetes and DN.	Losartan	Yes
Chan et al. [47]	2004	China	RCT	252	Compared losartan (50 mg titrated to 100 mg) to placebo in addition to conventional antihypertensive medications in type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy.	Losartan conferred significant renal benefits and was well tolerated in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes and clinical nephropathy.	Losartan	Yes
Song et al. [48]	2003	Korea	prospective cross- over trial	32	Four to 8 mg once-daily dose of candesartan and placebo were alternatively added on ramipril dose of 5 - 7.5 mg/day for 16 weeks.	Definite beneficial effects of dual blockade of RAS on proteinuria and TGF-β1 excretion were found in IgA nephropathy patients, which was independent of blood pressure- reducing effect.	Dual	Yes
Rossing et al. [49]	2003	Denmark	double-blind, ran- domized, two-peri- od, crossover trial	20	Trial of 8 weeks of treatment with the ARB candesartan 16 mg daily and placebo added in random order to existing treatment with lisinopril/enalapril 40 mg daily or captopril 150 mg daily.	Dual blockade of the RAS provides renoprotection independent of systemic BP changes in comparison with maximally recommended doses of ACEi in patients with T2D as well as nephropathy.	Dual	Yes
Kim et al. [50]	2003	Korea	crossover therapeu- tic trial	43	After a 12-week stabilization period (control period), 4 mg, once daily, dose of candesartan (combination period) followed by a placebo (placebo period), or vice versa, were administered in addition to the ramipril, for 12 weeks.	The benefit of combination therapy and its antiproteinuric effect was different between IgA and DN over the 12-week trial.	Dual	Yes
Jacobsen et al. [51]	2003	Denmark	randomized, dou- ble-blind crossover trial	24	8 weeks treatment with placebo and irbesartan 300 mg (once daily), added on top of enalapril 40 mg (once daily).	Dual blockade of the RAS is superior to maximal recommended dose of ACE inhibitors with regard to lowering of albuminuria and blood pressure in type 1 patients with DN.	Dual	Yes
Jacobsen et al. [52]	2003	Denmark	randomized, dou- ble-blind crossover trial	20	8-wk treatment with placebo, 20 mg of benazepril once daily, 80 mg of valsartan once daily, and the combination of 20 mg of benazepril and 80 mg of valsartan.	Dual blockade of the RAS may offer additional renal and cardiovascular protection in type I diabetic patients with DN.	Dual	Yes
Hollenberg et al. [53]	2003	USA	RCT	31	This study examined the renal hemodynamic response to blocking the RAS with both captopril and candesartan on separate days in 31 patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus.	Our data suggest that the intrarenal RAS is activated in over 80% of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Abundant evidence suggests that this activation predisposes to DN.	Intrarenal RAS	Yes
Jacobsen et al. [54]	2002	Denmark	randomized, dou- ble-blind crossover trial	21	Trial with 2 months treatment with Irbesartan 300 mg o.d. And placebo added on top of previous antihypertensive treatment.	Dual blockade of the RAS may offer additional renal and cardiovascular protection in type l patients with DN responding insufficiently to conventional antihypertensive therapy.	Dual	Yes
Rossing et al. [55]	2002	Denmark	randomized, dou- ble-blind crossover study	18	2 months treatment with candesartan cilexetil 8 mg once daily and placebo in addition to previous antihypertensive treatment.	Dual blockade of the RAS reduces albuminuria and blood pressure T2D patients with DN responding insufficiently to previous antihypertensive therapy, including ACE inhibitors in recommended doses.	Dual	Yes
Philips et al. [56]	2001	Belgium	RCT	200	The study included 200 patients randomized to receive candesartan 16 mg or lisinopril 20 mg for 12 weeks, followed by 12 weeks of the same monotherapy or a combination treatment.	All three of the treatments are effective, but the dual blockade is respectively 18%, 8 mmHg and 5 mmHg more effective in reducing microalbuminuria, systolic and diastolic blood pressure.	Dual	Yes
Andersen et al. [57]	2000	Denmark	randomized, dou- ble-blind crossover trial	16	The patients received losartan 50 mg, losartan 100 mg, enalapril 10 mg, enalapril 20 mg, and placebo in random order.	Losartan represents a valuable new drug in the treatment of hypertension and proteinuria in type 1 diabetic patients with DN.	Losartan	Yes

Grzeszczak et al. [58]	1997	Poland	RCT	220	Compared the distribution of PstI melting polymorphism at the ACE locus among NIDDM patients with DN and in patients who, despite long duration of NIDDM, remain without this complication.	The study revealed that PstI sequence differences ("+/= and -") in the ACE gene do not contribute to genetic susceptibility to DN in NIDDM.	PstI	No
Strojek et al. [59]	1995	Poland	RCT	30	The assessment of plasma renin activity (PRA) and aldosterone (aldo) in type I euglycemic diabetic patients on intensive insulin treatment without autonomic neuropathy.	In euglycemic intensively insulin treated type I diabetic patients without neuropathy presented decreased level of PRA and aldo.	PRA and Aldo	No

Table 1: Summary table of studies included in the systemic review.

n: Sample size; PRA: Plasma renin activity; Aldo: Aldosterone; AZ: azelnidipine; ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ABT: Aldosterone blockade therapy; ARB: Angiotensin II receptor blocker(s); RCT: Randomized clinical trial(s); DRI: Direct renin inhibitor; RAS: Renin-angiotensin; RAAS: Renin-angiotensin aldosterone system; mg: milligrams; OH: Hydroxy; NIDDM: Non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; T2D: Type 2 diabetes; DM: Diabetes mellitus; DN: Diabetic nephropathy; µg: Microgram; UACR: Urine to albumin creatinine ratio; MDA: Methylenedioxyamphetamine; TGF: Transforming growth factor; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor; DKD: Diabetic kidney disease; BP: Blood pressure; GRF: Glomerular filtration rate; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; ref: Reference.

Author (ref)	Random Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants and Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessment	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Reporting
Kato 2015 [17]	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Kwakernaak 2014 [19]*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Ghorbani 2012 [24]	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Fernández-Juárez 2013 [25]	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Fallahzadeh 2012 [26]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Eyileten 2010 [31]	Unclear	Low	Unclear	Low	Unclear	Unclear
Krairittichai 2009 [34]	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Galle 2008 [36]*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Wang 2008 [37]*	High	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Unclear
Song 2006 [42]*	Low	Unclear	High	Low	Low	High
Schjoedt 2005 [45]*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Schjoedt 2004 [46]*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Rossing 2003 [49]*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Kim 2003 [50]*	Unclear	Unclear	High	Low	Low	High
Jacobsen 2003 [51]*	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Jacobsen 2003 [52]	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Jacobsen 2002 [54]*	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Unclear
Rossing 2002 [55]*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear

Table 2: Risk of bias in included studies for the meta-analysis based on the Cochrane Assessment Tool.

 *Included in analysis for primary outcomes.

Excel. Data extraction included abstractions based on predefined categories as well as qualitative text data (to allow a combination of systematic assessment and depth to be achieved). The collected information included details about the study characteristics, such as aims, participants, study design, methods, outcomes, and treatment/dose measures. Two reviewers carried out data extraction independently and resolved any disagreement by consensus and discussion. Of the 45 datasets included in the meta-analysis, two were crossover study trials and five were prospective studies. Fifteen conducted double-blinded trials, and 23 conducted randomized trials. Data characteristics from the datasets are summarized in Table 1.

Risk of bias and strength of evidence

Given that most studies were RCTs, the overall risk of bias was moderate to high and the study quality was fair. The overall

Diabetes Complications, 2019

magnitude of association was high and there was large heterogeneity between studies. A possible reason for high heterogeneity (I2: 85%>) is that no correlations were made with regards to subgroups or geographical regions in the included studies. The studies included for analysis were conducted in a number of countries (China, Denmark, Germany, Iran, Japan, Korea, Netherlands, Spain, Turkey, and Thailand).

Main findings

To our knowledge, this study presents one of the first systematic reviews and meta-analyses of the evidence for a neutral effect between dual blockade RAAS and DN using data from RCTs and controlled trials. An association (which was non-significant, due to the high heterogeneity) between a dual RAAS blockade and DN was observed; 18 of the 45 datasets revealed that dual therapies were effective among DN patients. The results appear to demonstrate high I2 values with significant associated p-values as well as CI that cross 0. This demonstrates high heterogeneity among the studies and a non-significant effect.



Figure 1: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses (PRISMA) flow diagram. Study identification and selection process.

A Study		1	Vean Differenc 95% CI)	9	Weig	ht %	SE
Galle et al. 2008	-		-75.00 (-98.52	., -51.48)	17.01		12.00
Schjoedt et al. 2005			-37.00 (-57.58	, -16.42)	17.43		10.50
Schjoedt et al. 2004			89.00 (-97.20	275.20)	2.34		95.00
Rossing et al. 2003			28.00 (7.42, 4	8.58)	17.43		10.50
Jacobsen et al. 2003 a			-25 00 (-43 62	-6.38)	17.68		9.50
lacobsen et al. 2002	_		-37 00 (-64 44	-9 56)	16.41		14.00
Possing of al. 2002		_	24.00/-30.88	78.88)	11 71		20.00
Oueroll (leguerod = 97.9% p = 0.000)	Ā	-	40.02 (50.00	10.00	100.0	0	20.00
Overall (I-squared = 87.6%, p = 0.000)	Y		-19.93 (-50.32	., 10.47)	100.0	U	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis							
	-75 0	89					
B Study			Mean Differer (95% CI)	nce	Weig	ht %	SE
Kwakemaak et al. 2014		-	-1.50 (-2.48,	-0.52)	24.49	1	0.50
Wang et al. 2008		•	-0.70 (-0.90,	0.50)	25.40)	0.10
Song et al. 2006		-	-0.60 (-1.38,	0.18)	24.82	1	0.40
Kim et al. 2003		•	3.50 (3.11, 3.	89)	25.28	1	0.20
Overall (I-squared = 99.2%, p = 0.000)		\Diamond	0.19 (-2.32, 2	.70)	100.0	10	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis							
c	-1.	50 0 3.5	j	Moon Difference			
Study				(95% CI)	;	Weight '	% SE
Kato et al. 2015		•	\longrightarrow	3.20 (-15.81, 22	.21)	0.92	9.70
Kwakernaak et al. 2014				-6.00 (-16.21, 4	.21)	2.77	5.21
Fernández-Juárez et al. 2013	- 10	•		0.99 (0.91, 1.07)	14.95	0.04
Fallahzadeh et al. 2012	- 1		-	-0.23 (-11.52, 11	.06)	2.35	5.76
Eyileten et al. 2010 -	•			-6.10 (-9.47, -2.	73)	10.11	1.72
Galle et al. 2008	•			-2.44 (-2.89, -1.	99)	14.83	0.23
Wang et al. 2008 -	•			-4.72 (-8.03, -1.	41)	10.22	1.69
Schjoedt et al. 2005 -	•	-		-4.00 (-8.90, 0.9	10)	7.43	2.50
Schjoedt et al. 2004	H	•		2.60 (-1.12, 6.3	2)	9.44	1.90
Rossing et al. 2003			_	4.00 (-3.84, 11.8	34)	4.16	4.00
Jacobsen et al. 2003 a -		_		-3.00 (-8.88, 2.8	18)	6.08	3.00
Jacobsen et al. 2003 b				10.00 (2.16, 17.	84)	4.16	4.00
Jacobsen et al. 2002		_		-2.00 (-5.92, 1.9	(2)	9.07	2.00
Rossing et al. 2002	- +			5.00 (-3.82, 13.	B2)	3.49	4.50
Overall (I-squared = 95.0%, p = 0.000)	4	>		-1.11 (-3.00, 0.7	7)	100.00	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis							
	+						

Figures 2A: Decline in albuminuria with dual RAAS blockade combination therapy.

2B) Slight decline in proteinuria with dual RAAS blockade combination therapy.

2C) Slight decrease in GFR with dual RAAS blockade combination therapy.

The effect of combination therapy on albuminuria

There was a decline in albuminuria with dual blockade combination therapy (mean difference: -19.93 mcg/L; 95% CI -50.32 – 10.47; I2 = 87.8%, p = 0.000) (Figure 2A).

The effect of combination therapy on proteinuria

There was a slight but non-significant increase in proteinuria with dual blockade combination therapy (mean difference: 0.19 mg/mmol; 95% CI -2.32 – 2.70; I2 = 99.2%, p = 0.000) (Figure 2B). There was also a slightly but not significant decrease in GFR (mean difference: -1.11 mL/min; 95% CI -3.00 – 0.77; I2 = 95.0%, p = 0.000) with dual blockade combination therapy (Figure 2C).

The effect of combination therapy on blood pressure

There was a 1.33 mmHg decrease (mean difference: -1.33, 95% CI -3.70 – 1.04; I2 = 95.3%, p = 0.000) in SBP with dual blockade combination therapy (Figure 2D), and 1.58 mmHg decrease in DBP (mean difference: -1.58, 95% CI -3.25 – 0.14; I2 = 95.0%, p = 0.000) with dual blockade combination therapy (Figure 2E).

D Study	Mean Difference (95% CI)	Weight %	SE
Ghorbani et al. 2012	-0.20 (-2.00, 1.60)	14.15	0.92
Fallahzadeh et al. 2012	- 1.07 (-10.10, 12.24)	3.48	5.70
Eyileten et al. 2010	-4.00 (-7.08, -0.92)	12.24	1.57
Krairittichai et al. 2009 •	-5.70 (-6.52, -4.88)	15.13	0.42
Galle et al. 2008	-0.10 (-0.32, 0.12)	15.39	0.11
Wang et al. 2008	0.50 (-6.24, 7.24)	6.86	3.44
Song et al. 2006	-1.00 (-5.35, 3.35)	10.14	2.22
Schjoedt et al. 2005	-7.00 (-14.84, 0.84)	5.74	4.00
Schjoedt et al. 2004	2.00 (0.84, 3.16)	14.87	0.59
Rossing et al. 2002	• 10.00 (-5.68, 25.68)	1.99	8.00
Overall (I-squared = 95.3%, p = 0.000)	-1.33 (-3.70, 1.04)	100.00	
E Study	Mean Difference (95% CI)	Weight %	s
Charbani et al. 2012	2 20 (2 57 0 92)	12.26	0
Fallahzadeh et al. 2012	-2.20 (-3.37, -0.33)	2.61	4
Fvileten et al. 2010	-7.00 (-7.98 -6.02)	12.01	0
Krairittichai et al. 2009	- 3.30 (1.93, 4.67)	12.36	0
Galle et al. 2008	-1.60 (-1.68, -1.52)	13.45	0
Wang et al. 2008	-0.90 (-4.04, 2.24)	9.20	1
Song et al. 2006	-2.00 (-4.74, 0.74)	9.94	1.
Schjoedt et al. 2005	-5.00 (-8.92, -1.08)	7.82	2
Schjoedt et al. 2004	-0.50 (-1.28, 0.28)	13.07	0
Rossing et al. 2002	3.00 (-1.90, 7.90)	6.33	2.
Overall (I-squared = 95.0%, p = 0.000)	-1.56 (-3.25, 0.14)	100.00	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis			

Figures 2D): Percent reduction in SBP with dual RAAS blockade combination therapy.

2E): Percent reduction in DBP with dual RAAS blockade combination therapy.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, SE = standard error.

Discussion

Based on this review, it is reasonable to postulate that a further reduction in proteinuria, albuminuria, and BP by dual RAAS blockade (or combination therapies) might further decrease disease progression. In this meta-analysis, we found that the effects of combination dual RAAS blockade therapy were neutral for proteinuria and albuminuria among DN patients.

However, dual RAAS blockade was associated with a slight decline in SBP and DBP. This finding is consistent with a review conducted by Elrggal et al. on a number of key clinical trials, which highlighted the dogma that the benefit of a RAAS blockade in DKD are beyond mere adequate blood pressure control.

A number of studies (n = 18), studies combining ACEi and ARB particularly, demonstrate that dual blockade of the RAAS is safe and effective in reducing albuminuria, proteinuria, blood pressure, and urinary markers [22,32,34,35,37,39,41-43,45,48,49-52,54-56], as well as provide renal and cardiovascular protection [54]. These studies also suggest that dual blockade of the RAAS is more effective than individual treatments (monotherapies).

Four studies have specifically shown that the combination of ACEi and ARB provides renal and cardiovascular benefits in patients with DN [22,34,37,41]. Both ACEis and ARBs suppress aldosterone secretion; however, with prolonged treatment, aldosterone levels increase, a phenomenon termed "aldosterone escape" [7,46]. Moreover, there is a secondary increase in renin with either ACEi or ARB therapy. Interestingly, Pohl et al. also suggested an SBP target between 120 and 130 mmHg, in conjunction with blockade of the RAS, in patients with DN [44].

In contrast, there are also a number of studies (n = 21) which investigated the effect of using monotherapies, and report some benefits and effects on renal outcomes and/or RAAS blockade efficacy among DN patients [16-19,21,24,26-31,33,38,40,46,47,53,57-59].

However, these studies do not report significant benefits of these therapies when used in combination. ARBs have also shown to be a more superior and potent treatment option compared to others among DN patients [16,30]. In six studies, the therapies appeared to have a similar effect or were unable to show any effect or benefit on varied renal outcomes [1,20,23,25,36,44].

Based on the current evidence, many investigators no longer recommend the routine use of dual RAAS blockade for the treatment of hypertension or chronic kidney disease, except for the presence of HF with reduced EF or DN with proteinuria [8]. Instead of implementing new methods non-selectively, the effort should be directed towards finding specific populations (defined by genetic markers, features of the disease, or other parameters) that will have the most benefit and/or the least risk from any particular treatment regime. The more distant future will probably bring the "tailoring" of the treatment to each individual, and not simply to a group or a disease [9].

Strengths and Limitations

The primary strength of this meta-analysis is the expansive literature

search. However, there are several limitations, mainly stemming from the quality of the included studies, as summarized in Table 1. A few studies in the review contained a secondary analysis of a study designed to test a different primary hypothesis, and this will inevitably result in some measurement bias and residual confounding. The absence of patient outcome data necessitated the use of surrogate markers (proteinuria and albuminuria) for the primary outcomes. Further research should be conducted on correlating these outcomes to sub-groups or geographical regions. Furthermore, there was likely an underrepresentation of African patients being studied in the included studies. The strength of systematic reviews is that by systematically identifying these limitations, future designs can be improved.

Implications

This review suggests that we should evolve from repeating RCT studies to studies examining causal relationships. The ideal study design could either be a prospective design including patients potentially at high risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus (e.g., positive family history of diabetes); with or without DN, albuminuria or proteinuria; matched for at least positive changes in BP and GFR measured over time. Further secondary analyses are unlikely to contribute further to the field unless there is an adequate assessment of potential confounding factors.

Conclusion

This review contributes to the growing evidence of a moderate but persistent dose-response relationship for the efficacy of RAAS blockade among patients with DN. Based on this study, it appears that dual blockade RAAS (or a combination of therapies) is a neutral treatment for patients with DN presenting with symptoms of albuminuria and/or proteinuria, as other factors must also be considered when recommending therapies for DN patients.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ms. Jenna Patterson for her assistance with the statistics, as well as Ms. Taahira Goolam Hoosen for internally reviewing the paper. Sultan Al Dalbhi is the guarantor of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

S.A. conceived and designed the study, obtained the data, proposed and performed the statistical analyses, contributed to the literature search, drafted the report, M.E reviewed/edited the manuscript, and revised the report for important intellectual content. M.S.A, M.E.A and G.A. provided administrative, technical, and material support; contributed to edited the manuscript and proofreading process; K.A. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Imai E, Haneda M, Yamasaki T. Effects of dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes with overt nephropathy and hypertension in the ORIENT a post-hoc analysis ORIENT-Hypertension. Hypertens

Res 2013; 36: 1051-1059.

- Ruggenenti P, Fassi A, Ilieva AP, et al. Preventing microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2004; 351: 1941-1951.
- 3. Haller H, Ito S, Izzo JL, et al. ROADMAP Trial Investigators. Olmesartan for the delay or prevention of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2011; 364: 907-917.
- 4. Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brochner-Mortensen J, et al. The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345: 870-878.
- Makino H, Haneda M, Babazono T, et al. INNOVATION Study Group. Prevention of transition from incipient to overt nephropathy with telmisartan in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2007; 30: 1577-1578.
- Uzu T, Sawaguchi M, Maegawa H, et al. Reduction of microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes the Shiga Microalbuminuria Reduction Trial SMART. Diabetes Care 2007; 30: 1581-1583.
- Pham JT, Schmitt BP, Leehey DJ. Effects of Dual Blockade of the Renin-Angiotensin System in Diabetic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Nephrol Therapeutic. 2012; 2: 3.
- Chrysant SG, Chrysant GS. Dual Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Blockade Promises and Pitfalls. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2015; 17: 511.
- Chábova VC, Červenka L. The Dilemma of Dual Renin-Angiotensin System Blockade in Chronic Kidney Disease Why Beneficial in Animal Experiments But Not in the Clinic? Physiol Res. 2017; 66: 181-192.
- Grolla E, Bonanni L, Cutolo A, et al. Disputes in the Treatment of Diabetic Nephropathy The Dual Blockade of Renin-Angiotensin System. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2016; 124: 361-366.
- Elrggal ME, Ahmed SMS, Nahas ME. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Blockade in Diabetic Kidney Disease A Critical and Contrarian Point of View. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2016; 27: 1103-1113.
- Fried LF, Emanuele N, Zhang JH. Combined Angiotensin Inhibition for the Treatment of Diabetic Nephropathy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369: 1892-1903.
- 13. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009; 6: e1000097.
- Jüni P, Altman DG, Egger M. Systematic reviews in health care: assessing the quality of controlled clinical trials. BMJ. 2001; 323: 42-46.
- 15. Owens DK, Lohr KN, Atkins D, et al. AHRQ series paper 5 grading the strength of a body of evidence when comparing medical interventions agency for healthcare research and quality and the effective healthcare program. J Clin Epidemiol. 2010; 63: 513-523.
- Uzu T, Araki S, Kashiwagi A. Comparative Effects of Direct Renin Inhibitor and Angiotensin Receptor Blocker on Albuminuria in Hypertensive Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. A Randomized Controlled Trial. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0164936.
- Kato S, Maruyama S, Makino H. Anti-albuminuric effects of spironolactone in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy a multicenter, randomized clinical trial. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2015; 19: 1098-1106.
- Diabetes Complications, 2019

- Van Buren PN, Adams-Huet B, Nguyen M. Potassium handling with dual renin-angiotensin system inhibition in diabetic nephropathy. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014; 9: 295-301.
- Kwakernaak AJ, Krikken JA, Binnenmars SH. Effects of sodium restriction and hydrochlorothiazide on RAAS blockade efficacy in diabetic nephropathy a randomised clinical trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2014; 2: 385-395.
- 20. Fernández-Juárez G, Luño J, Barrio V. 25 OH vitamin D levels and renal disease progression in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy and blockade of the renin angiotensin system. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013; 8: 1870-1876.
- 21. Karalliedde J, Maltese G, Hill B. Effect of renin-angiotensin system blockade on soluble Klotho in patients with type 2 diabetes, systolic hypertension, and albuminuria. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013; 8: 1899-1905.
- 22. Mann JF, Anderson C, Gao P. Dual inhibition of the reninangiotensin system in high-risk diabetes and risk for stroke and other outcomes: results of the ONTARGET trial. J Hypertens. 2013; 31: 414-421.
- 23. Pruijm M, Hofmann L, Zanchi A. Blockade of the reninangiotensin system and renal tissue oxygenation as measured with BOLD-MRI in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2013; 99: 136-144.
- 24. Ghorbani A, Omidvar B, Beladi-Mousavi SS. The effect of pentoxifylline on reduction of proteinuria among patients with type 2 diabetes under blockade of angiotensin system a double blind and randomized clinical trial. Nefrologia. 2012; 32: 790-796.
- 25. Fernandez Juarez G, Luño J, Barrio V, et al. Effect of dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system on the progression of type 2 diabetic nephropathy a randomized trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2013; 61: 211-218.
- Fallahzadeh MK, Dormanesh B, Sagheb MM. Effect of addition of silymarin to renin-angiotensin system inhibitors on proteinuria in type 2 diabetic patients with overt nephropathy a randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2012; 60: 896-903.
- Rasi Hashemi S, Noshad H, Tabrizi A. Angiotensin receptor blocker and N acetyl cysteine for reduction of proteinuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Iran J Kidney Dis. 2012; 6: 39-43.
- 28. Kohan DE, Pritchett Y, Molitch M. Addition of atrasentan to renin-angiotensin system blockade reduces albuminuria in diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2011; 22: 763-772.
- 29. de Zeeuw D, Agarwal R, Amdahl M. Selective vitamin D receptor activation with paricalcitol for reduction of albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes VITAL study a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2010; 376: 1543-1551.
- Nakamura T, Fujiwara N, Sato E. Renoprotective Effects of Various Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers in Patients with Early-Stage Diabetic Nephropathy. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2010; 33: 213-220.
- 31. Eyileten T, Sonmez A, Saglam M. Effect of renin-angiotensinaldosterone system RAAS blockade on visfatin levels in diabetic nephropathy. Nephrology Carlton. 2010; 15: 225-229.
- 32. Tan F, Mukherjee JJ, Lee KO. Dual blockade of the renin angiotensin-aldosterone system is safe and effective in reducing albuminuria in Asian type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. Singapore Med J. 2010; 51: 151-156.

- 33. Mehdi UF, Adams-Huet B, Raskin P. Addition of angiotensin receptor blockade or mineralocorticoid antagonism to maximal angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009; 20: 2641-2650.
- Krairittichai U, Chaisuvannarat V. Effects of dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Med Assoc Thai. 2009; 92: 611-617.
- 35. Ogawa S, Mori T, Nako K. Combination therapy with reninangiotensin system inhibitors and the calcium channel blocker azelnidipine decreases plasma inflammatory markers and urinary oxidative stress markers in patients with diabetic nephropathy. Hypertens Res. 2008; 31: 1147-1155.
- 36. Galle J, Schwedhelm E, Pinnetti S. Antiproteinuric effects of angiotensin receptor blockers: telmisartan versus valsartan in hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and overt nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2008; 23: 3174-3183.
- 37. Wang G, Lai FM, Lai KB. Urinary messenger RNA expression of podocyte-associated molecules in patients with diabetic nephropathy treated by angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and angiotensin receptor blocker. Eur J Endocrinol. 2008; 158: 317-322.
- Yoneda T, Takeda Y, Usukura M. Aldosterone breakthrough during angiotensin II receptor blockade in hypertensive patients with diabetes mellitus. Am J Hypertens. 2007; 20: 1329-1333.
- 39. Eijkelkamp WB, Zhang Z, Remuzzi G. Albuminuria is a target for renoprotective therapy independent from blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy post hoc analysis from the Reduction of Endpoints in NIDDM with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan RENAAL trial. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007; 18: 1540-1546.
- 40. Kurokawa K, Chan JC, Cooper ME. Renin angiotensin aldosterone system blockade and renal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes a subanalysis of Japanese patients from the RENAAL study. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2006; 10: 193-200.
- Stevanovic RD, Price DA, Lansang MC. Renin release in response to Renin system blockade activation of the Renin system in type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst. 2005; 6: 78-83.
- 42. Song JH, Cha SH, Lee HJ. Effect of low-dose dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system on urinary TGF-beta in type 2 diabetic patients with advanced kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006; 21: 683-689.
- 43. Matos JP, de Lourdes Rodrigues M. Effects of dual blockade of the renin angiotensin system in hypertensive type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. Clin Nephrol. 2005; 64: 180-189.
- 44. Pohl MA, Blumenthal S, Cordonnier DJ. Independent and additive impact of blood pressure control and angiotensin II receptor blockade on renal outcomes in the irbesartan diabetic nephropathy trial clinical implications and limitations. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2005; 16: 3027-3037.
- 45. Schjoedt KJ, Jacobsen P, Rossing K. Dual blockade of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system in diabetic nephropathy the role of aldosterone. Horm Metab Res. 2005; 37: 4-8.
- 46. Schjoedt KJ, Andersen S, Rossing P. Aldosterone escape during

blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in diabetic nephropathy is associated with enhanced decline in glomerular filtration rate. Diabetologia. 2004; 47: 1936-1939.

- 47. Chan JC, Wat NM, So WY. Renin angiotensin aldosterone system blockade and renal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. An Asian perspective from the RENAAL Study. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27: 874-879.
- 48. Song JH, Lee SW, Suh JH. The effects of dual blockade of the renin angiotensin system on urinary protein and transforming growth factor-beta excretion in 2 groups of patients with IgA and diabetic nephropathy. Clin Nephrol. 2003; 60: 318-326.
- 49. Rossing K, Jacobsen P, Pietraszek L. Renoprotective effects of adding angiotensin II receptor blocker to maximal recommended doses of ACE inhibitor in diabetic nephropathy a randomized double-blind crossover trial. Diabetes Care. 2003; 26: 2268-2274.
- Kim MJ, Song JH, Suh JH. Additive antiproteinuric effect of combination therapy with ACE inhibitor and angiotensin II receptor antagonist: differential short-term response between IgA nephropathy and diabetic nephropathy. Yonsei Med J. 2003; 44: 463-472.
- Jacobsen P, Andersen S, Rossing K. Dual blockade of the reninangiotensin system versus maximal recommended dose of ACE inhibition in diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int. 2003; 63: 1874-1880.
- Jacobsen P, Andersen S, Jensen BR. Additive effect of ACE inhibition and angiotensin II receptor blockade in type I diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2003; 14: 992-999.
- 53. Hollenberg NK, Price DA, Fisher ND. Glomerular hemodynamics and the renin angiotensin system in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Kidney Int. 2003; 63: 172-178.
- 54. Jacobsen P, Andersen S, Rossing K. Dual blockade of the reninangiotensin system in type 1 patients with diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2002; 17: 1019-1024.
- 55. Rossing K, Christensen PK, Jensen BR. Dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system in diabetic nephropathy a randomized double-blind crossover study. Diabetes Care. 2002; 25: 95-100.
- 56. Philips JC, Weekers L, Scheen AJ. Clinical study of the month. The CALM study assessing the combination of an angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitor and an angiotensin II receptor antagonist in the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. Rev Med Liege. 2001; 56: 126-128.
- 57. Andersen S, Tarnow L, Rossing P. Renoprotective effects of angiotensin II receptor blockade in type 1 diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int. 2000; 57: 601-606.
- 58. Grzeszczak W, Zychma M, Zukowska-Szczechowska E, et al. Is PstI polymorphism of the angiotensin I converting enzyme gene associated with nephropathy development in non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus preliminary study. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 1997; 98: 19-25.
- 59. Strojek K, Grzeszczak W, Lacka B. Activity of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system in euglycemic type I diabetic patients on intensive insulin treatment without diabetic neuropathy. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 1995; 94: 208-213.

© 2019 Sultan Al Dalbhi, et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License